SEMI-ANNUAL SELECTION OF REMEDY (SoR) PROGRESS REPORT (Q3 and Q4 2020)

McELROY'S RUN COAL COMBUSTION BYPRODUCT DISPOSAL FACILITY

Pleasants Power Station Pleasants County, West Virginia

Prepared for:

Allegheny Energy Supply Company
A Wholly Owned Subsidiary of FirstEnergy

800 Cabin Hill Drive Greensburg, PA 15601

Prepared by:

Tetra Tech, Inc.

400 Penn Center Boulevard, Suite 200 Pittsburgh, PA 15235 Phone: (412) 829-3600 Fax: (412) 829-3260

Tetra Tech Project No. 212C-SW-00070

January 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 SoR Regulatory Basis	
2.0 STATUS OF THE SELECTION OF REMEDY PROGRAM	
3.0 PLANNED SOR ACTIVITIES	

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Semi-Annual Selection of Remedy (SoR) Progress Report was prepared by Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) on behalf of Allegheny Energy Supply Company (AESC) for the Coal Combustion Byproduct Disposal Facility ("CCBDF", "CCR units", or "site") associated with the Pleasants Power Station (hereinafter referred to as the "Station"). The CCR units and Station are located near the town of Belmont in Pleasants County, West Virginia. The period covered by this report is the second two quarters (Q3 and Q4) of calendar year 2020 (July 1st through December 31st).

As per 40 CFR 257.97(a), once a Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) unit has completed an Assessment of Corrective Measures (ACM) and transitions to SoR, "The owner or operator must prepare a semiannual report describing the progress in selecting and designing the remedy." Accordingly, this report summarizes the progress during the current reporting period in selecting and designing the remedy for addressing arsenic concentrations in groundwater downgradient of the CCR units and also includes a summary of anticipated SoR activities which will be conducted over the next SoR reporting period.

Detailed background information on the CCR units, hydrogeologic site conditions, and CCR monitoring results can be found in various other documents on the CCBDF's publicly accessible website, the most recent of which being the 2019 Annual CCR Rule Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report (McElroy's Run CCB Disposal Facility 2019 Annual GWMCA Report). The following section provides background information as it relates to the SoR at the CCR units.

1.1 Background

Groundwater Assessment Monitoring (AM) conducted at the site in accordance with the federal CCR Rule identified arsenic, barium, lithium and radium concentrations in certain downgradient CCR monitoring wells which were at Statistically Significant Levels (SSLs) above their corresponding Groundwater Protection Standards (GWPS). Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.95(g)(3)(ii), Tetra Tech performed an Alternative Source Demonstration (ASD) to assess if the Appendix IV SSLs determined for sampling events AM-1, -2, and -3 were attributable to a release from the CCR units or from a demonstrable alternative source(s). The Appendix IV ASD is included as Attachment A of the ACM Report prepared for the Site (McElroy's Run CCB Disposal Facility 2019 ACM Report) and determined that the barium and radium SSLs can be attributed to historical and current oil and gas exploration and production activities that have occurred at the site; that the source of the lithium SSLs is currently indeterminate but there is a high potential they are also attributable to oil and gas impacts at the site; and that the arsenic SSLs could not be attributed to sources other

than the CCR units. As such, a transition to Nature and Extent (N&E) of release characterization and ACM for arsenic per 40 CFR 257.96 of the CCR Rule were implemented.

As required by 40 CFR 257.96(c), the ACM conducted by Tetra Tech on behalf of AESC included an analysis of the effectiveness of potential corrective measures in meeting the remedy requirements and objectives as described under 40 CFR 257.97. The ACM Report evaluated the following corrective measures against the criteria referenced in 40 CFR 257.96(c): Source Control, Groundwater Extraction and Treatment, In-Situ Technologies, and Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA).

Based on the evaluation of viable remediation technologies, MNA, combined with source control by the eventual installation of a final cover system on the CCR units, ranks highest among the evaluated options. In September 2019, pursuant to 40 CFR 257.96(d), the ACM Report was posted in the CCR units' Operating Record, and then subsequently posted to the facility's publicly accessible website on October 16, 2019 (McElroy's Run CCB Disposal Facility 2019 ACM Report).

1.2 SoR Regulatory Basis

SoR activities must be completed in compliance with 40 CFR 257.97(a), which states that as soon as feasible after completion of the ACM, a remedy must be selected that, at a minimum, meets the performance standards listed in 40 CFR 257.97(b), and considers the evaluation factors listed in 40 CFR 257.97(c).

2.0 CURRENT STATUS OF THE SELECTION OF REMEDY PROGRAM

The following activities have been performed during the current reporting period as part of selecting the remedy at the site:

- In order to better characterize the extent of arsenic in groundwater and to evaluate potential natural attenuation impacts on arsenic concentrations downgradient of the CCR units, six new downgradient monitoring wells, including three off-site locations, were identified, the current off-site landowners were contacted, and all of the proposed well locations were field staked during Q2 and Q3 2020. For the proposed off-site well locations, AESC also commenced negotiations with the off-site landowners to establish right-of-access and lease agreements to install and sample the proposed new wells. These negotiations continued throughout Q3 and Q4 and remain in-progress.
- Technical specifications and a Request for Proposal (RFP) were prepared for installing, developing, and performing aquifer characterization testing of all the proposed new monitoring wells (on-site and off-site). The RFPs were issued to

multiple drilling firms and bids were received in December 2020, with work planned to commence in early 2021 once the off-site landowner agreements are finalized.

- Continued development of a Natural Attenuation Evaluation Work Plan that includes evaluating historic concentrations of parameters which can affect the natural attenuation of arsenic (e.g., iron, pH, ORP, etc.) as well as planning the sampling and analysis program that would be associated with future MNA activities.
- Continued reviewing candidate technologies with regard to their potential to meet the performance standards listed in 40 CFR 257.97(b) and the evaluation factors listed in 40 CFR 257.97(c).
- Continued AM with a sampling event in August 2020, which included sampling of the site's CCR monitoring well network with analyses for all Appendix III and Appendix IV parameters along with targeted general chemistry parameters to assist in evaluating potential natural attenuation impacts.
- Assessed the August 2020 groundwater flow patterns in the monitoring network areas downgradient of the CCR units and found they remained consistent with established flow patterns at the site.

3.0 PLANNED SOR ACTIVITIES

The following activities are planned as part of the ongoing SoR process:

- Continue evaluation of the historic groundwater monitoring data set for relationships between key parameters affecting arsenic natural attenuation and arsenic concentrations in groundwater.
- Complete development of the Arsenic Natural Attenuation Evaluation Work Plan.
- Finalize the right-of-access and lease agreements for the proposed new off-site monitoring locations and install, develop, and sample the six additional downgradient groundwater monitoring wells for arsenic and natural attenuation parameters.
- Continue evaluating the candidate technologies identified in the ACM against the performance standards listed in 40 CFR 257.97(b) and the evaluation factors listed in 40 CFR 257.97(c).
- As required by 40 CFR 257.96(e), AESC will discuss the results of the corrective measures assessment at least 30 days prior to the final selection of remedy, in a public meeting.

- Upon completion of all required SoR activities, AESC will prepare a final report describing the selected remedy and how it, at a minimum, meets the performance standards listed in 40 CFR 257.97(b) and considers the evaluation factors listed in 40 CFR 257.97(c).
- As required by 40 CFR 257.97(d), AESC will specify, as part of the selected arsenic remedy, a schedule(s) for implementing and completing remedial activities.
- Complete the scheduled 2021 AM sampling events at the site.

Should the final remedy for the CCR units not be selected during Q1 or Q2 2021, then another Semi-Annual SoR Report will be prepared as required by 40 CFR 257.97(a).